

UNITED STATES HASTENS PREPARATIONS FOR WAR; 16,000 ADDITIONAL TROOPS WILL REACH THE BORDER THIS WEEK

Estimates Totalling \$88,000,000 to Cover Pay and Maintenance Expense of State Troops Laid before Congress—House Passes Army Bill Adding \$26,000,000 for Emergency Purposes—Carranza Has Not Yet Replied to Last U. S. Note—Latin-American Efforts Toward Mediation in Mexican Crisis Collapse—National Guard Draft Resolution Passes Senate.

Washington, June 26.—Congress and the war department today put new urgency into their preparations for war. There were indications that should a formal break occur toward the end of the week, every possible precautionary step will have been taken and General Funston will be in a position to defend the border adequately and reinforce Pershing's expedition, although aggressive action may be delayed somewhat.

Indications to-night were that 10,000 men additional might reach the border this week. In two weeks probably 50,000 could be transported south, and within three weeks the whole force summoned under President Wilson's call might be moved.

Estimates to cover the pay and maintenance expense of the State troops, totalling \$88,000,000 up to January 1, were laid before Congress. With them went figures of \$12,000,000 for the purchase of horses and mules for army use. The total estimates of the quartermaster-general alone pass the \$100,000,000 mark.

The House passed the annual army appropriation bill after adding \$26,000,000 for emergency purposes during the brief debate that preceded the vote. An urgent deficiency bill carrying \$25,000,000 for national guard pay, equipment and transportation was framed in committee for presentation to the House to-morrow.

The United States now is waiting for Carranza's final word in reply to the note sent yesterday demanding release of the prisoners taken at Carrizal and a formal diplomatic announcement of intentions.

The note reached Mexico City late last night. Special Agent Rodgers reported its receipt, adding that it would expedite his first efforts to place it in the hands of the Carranza minister of foreign relations. Undoubtedly it was delivered today. Officials looked for an answer within a day or two at most.

Efforts toward Latin-American mediation in the Mexican crisis, favored by Carranza officials, collapsed today without having reached the stage of formal proposals to the United States.

It was made plain by Secretary Lansing to Ignacio Calderon, minister of Bolivia, who called with the intention of asking whether the United States would entertain an offer of the services of its southern neighbors to aid in averting war, that the Washington government sees nothing in the situation that would warrant arbitration proceedings.

In the Senate the national guard draft resolution was passed with amendments which will necessitate its return to the House and possibly a conference before its final approval. Army officials await with impatience the authority it grants to consolidate incomplete units of the national guard. They estimate that when the recruits reach the border under the new orders, some companies will be promptly depleted by the men discovered to be physically unfit on final examination. If they can combine these incomplete units for immediate service and add new companies as recruits are sent south, there will be little delay in getting all available men into active service.

President Wilson expressed to callers today his appreciation of the announcement of business houses throughout the country that their employees would be permitted to serve with the National Guard without loss of salaries or positions. Several employers have sent such announcements direct to the White House and the President has written thanking them.

THOUSANDS CHEER VERMONT TROOPS AT BRATTLEBORO

Regiment's First Train Pulls into Station between Scores of National Flags.

Brattleboro, June 27.—A crowd of 4,000 people waited hours this evening until the first troop section of the four trains bearing the 1st Vermont regiment of the National Guard pulled into the station at 10:20 to-night between scores of national flags flying from the train shed. The members of Company I of Brattleboro, 80 strong, were permitted 10 minutes on the platform to bid their last good-byes and hysterical scenes were many but the boys were dry-eyed and cheerful as the train pulled out. Two express truck loads of good things to eat and smoke and drink were loaded on the baggage car, the gifts of friends and shopmates. Every soldier boy from the town had his own private package of good things handed to him by some relative.

THE LOST TURNS UP.

Mrs. Mary Rivett Hears from Son Missing since Thursday.

Montpelier, June 25.—Word was received by Mrs. Mary Rivett of Loomis street from her 14-year-old son, Clifford, who left home Thursday night, that he was in Revere, Mass., trying to obtain employment and that he might go to Springfield, Mass., if he was unsuccessful. The postcard relieved the family, fears having been entertained that he might have gone to Framingham, Mass., to try to enlist. Clifford, the latter 16 years old, and very large for his age.

BRATTLEBORO DRUMS UP MORE RECRUITS

Street Corner Campaign Results in 18 Boys Volunteering.

Brattleboro, June 25.—Partly as the result of a street recruiting campaign Saturday night with a drum corps and speakers, who included Col. J. Gray Estey and Captain William T. Haigh, both of whom served in the Vermont regiment in the Spanish American war, there will be about 18 recruits sent from here to the State camp to-morrow morning. These include three brothers, George, Harold and Chester Lane, oldest of 13 children of Mr. and Mrs. John J. Lane. This makes the third family represented in the company with three sons each, the others being the family of Mrs. Sarah Dunlevy of Brattleboro and Mr. and Mrs. John Marshall of Hinsdale, N. H. With the arrival of these recruits at the camp the company will lack only about 25 men of the full war strength.

PHARMACISTS IN SESSION

State Association Elects 10 New Members—Mayor Mann of St. Albans Gives Welcome.

St. Albans, June 27.—About 75 members of the Vermont State Pharmaceutical association were present at the annual meeting when the sessions opened today at the city hall with an address of welcome by Mayor J. E. Mann. President F. R. Barker of Brandon responded and gave his annual address.

After the reading of the reports of the officers and committees, all showing an increase in membership, the association in a flourishing condition, 10 applicants for membership were accepted, among them Arthur Francis Finckh and Walter H. Daniel of Burlington. The membership has reached the 300 mark.

Addresses were given by Professor LaPierre of the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, Boston, who gave facts of interest regarding the college, and by A. E. Lerche of Springfield. At the close of the morning session luncheon was served at The Tavern, the official headquarters of the convention. The motor trip for the women present was postponed on account of the rain.

The Traveling Men's Auxiliary held its annual business meeting immediately after luncheon and elected the following officers: President, D. G. Quebec of Rutland; vice-president, O. B. Wells of Burlington; secretary and treasurer, Percy F. Beare of Brandon.

The afternoon session of the convention opened at the city hall at 2:30 o'clock. C. Herbert Packard, president of the Massachusetts College of Pharmacy, gave an address after which a business meeting was held.

At the business meeting a letter was read from Joseph P. Remington of Philadelphia stating that The Pharmacopoeia would be issued during three weeks. Seven deaths during the year were reported. W. E. Terrill of Montpelier gave a report of the annual meeting of the American Pharmaceutical association at San Francisco, to which he was a delegate from Vermont.

The time and place of the next State

convention were left with the incoming executive committee. The election of officers will be held on the steamer trip to-morrow.

Mason G. Beebe of Burlington, secretary of the Vermont State board of pharmacists, reported 15 candidates examined during the year, but owing to the fact that the last meeting was held the 14th of June it was impossible to give complete returns on certificates issued for the last examination, when there were 20 candidates, but up to that meeting had issued nine full and eight assistant's certificates.

A banquet was held at The Tavern this evening and a dance at the city hall with music by Romeo's orchestra closed the events of the day and evening.

To-morrow morning the party will leave on a lake trip on the steamer Chataqua.

NATION INDEBTED TO T. R., SAYS HUGHES

Cordial Letter from Republican Candidate to the Colonel.

New York, June 26.—Charles E. Hughes, republican candidate for president, made public to-night a letter he had sent to Colonel Roosevelt.

It read as follows: "I am in this campaign because of my conviction that we must not only frame but execute a broad constructive program, and that for this purpose we must have a united policy, a policy inspired by the great traditions and re-consecrated to its loftiest ideals. I know that you have been guided in this emergency by the sole desire to be of the largest service to the United States. You have summoned forth the trumpet that shall never call retreat. And I want you to feel that I wish to have all the aid that you are able and willing to give. I want the most effective co-operation with all those who have been fighting by your side. Let us work together for our national security and for the peace and righteousness and justice."

"I warmly appreciate the cordial letter of endorsement which you have sent to the progressive committee. No one is more sensible than I of the lasting indebtedness of the nation to you for the quickening of the national spirit, for the demand for an out and out one hundred per cent-Americanism and for the insistence upon the immediate necessity of a thorough-going preparedness, spiritual, military and economic."

"I enclose a copy of my telegram to the committee, in which I have set forth my attitude. I shall undertake a full discussion of the issues of the campaign."

"I hope that I may have the pleasure of seeing you at an early day. I am, my dear Colonel, with cordial regards, yours sincerely, CHARLES E. HUGHES."

BIRCHES ARE DYING.

Tree is Comparatively Short-Lived Even Under Favorable Conditions.

Many of the cut-leaf or weeping birches are dying. Authorities no less than A. F. Hawes, professor of forestry in the State university and State forester; M. B. Cummings, professor of horticulture; and Edward Newton, caretaker of the Elmwood Avenue cemetery, say so.

Many of the trees in half a dozen different sections of the city are succumbing to the comparatively dry summers of four or five years past.

Said Prof. Hawes: "The cut-leaf or weeping birch is a short-lived tree. I don't think on the average they live more than forty years, as a rule, as compared with the elm, which will live 50 years. I know of none of these trees here as long under cultivation as in their wild state. They do better on a well-ventilated, light loamy soil than on clay or mud. The tree is not a native; it was introduced from Europe. I think I guess it's been in this country a long time, a hundred years, I should say."

"I don't think it has the depth of foliage and the pretty white bark of the white birch. It isn't as hardy either. Vermont is in the optimum region, as we call it, for the white birch. You don't find any south of Hartford and it stops in Connecticut."

"We've had four or five rather dry summers. That is probably the chief cause of the present state, combined with the short-lived nature of the tree. There has been more precipitation this season than in several years. The top is the first part of the tree to show it when a scarcity of water is responsible, because the tree finds it hardest to pump the water up there. When a tree dies at the top that way we call it 'sagging.' The branches have a look like the antlers of a stag."

"Here's a tree out here (pointing out of his office window) with the top gone. A tree may live on for quite a while without its top."

"A little fertilizer and fresh soil around the bottom and cutting off the top it is dead but it looks as if it were still growing."

Professor Cummings said there were probably "quite a good many around the city" that were affected. "Bone meal broadcasted underneath the tree right on the grass," he thought, "is a good remedy, two or three quarts to a square rod. The rain will wash it in."

"I think it would be a good thing to say something about the elms. They are suffering from the leaf-miner, sometimes called the 'skeletonizer.' It works especially on the weeping elm. I've seen more of it this year than in half a dozen years before."

"Late in the spring, but it may help some to spray the trees with black leaf fly. That's a tobacco concoction. Use it at the rate of a pint to 75 gallons of water. Drench the trees well."

"The care-taker of the cemetery, Edward Newton, said he had noticed that the birches planted about forty years ago in the cemetery had lived longer than those set out in later years. But he had also found, as the State Forester said, that the tree was not long-lived as a rule. There were trees forty years old in the cemetery, but the weeping birch usually lived to be only 25."

Besides those on the university grounds there are trees on Loomis street, Buell street and various other thoroughfares that appear to be touched. But a tree, according to the State Forester, may live for some time without its top.

Some of the trees that have actually died have been cut open, says Mr. Newton, and found to be as sound as ever inside.

GEN. GALLIEN'S EPIGRAMS.

The late Gen. Gallien was a master of epigrammatic expressions. "Don't criticize until you can remedy," is one which obtained great favor in France, and might be recommended to critics here. "If you've got brains use them; if you've got money use it; if you've got power use it; if you've got things going, keep them going," and "Say what you want done, but don't say more than a man can remember," were two other counsels.—Westminster Gazette.

MOOSE NATIONAL COMMITTEE VOTES TO ENDORSE HUGHES

Ballot Is Taken Previously against Putting Third Ticket in the Field with Victor Murock at Head.

SOME OPPOSING VOTES

Col. Roosevelt's Letter Disclaiming Nomination, Is Read Progressives Should Give Ungrudging Support to Charles E. Hughes, He Declares.

Chicago, June 26.—The progressive national committee to-night voted to endorse Charles E. Hughes for president. The vote was 32 to 6, with nine declining to vote on the ground that the committee was exceeding its powers in taking such action.

Chicago, June 26.—By a vote of 31 to 15, the progressive national committee today voted on record as being opposed to putting a third ticket in the field. The committee voted down a motion to substitute the name of Victor Murock of Kansas to fill the vacancy caused by the declination of Theodore Roosevelt to head the progressive ticket.

New York, June 26.—Charles E. Hughes, republican nominee for president, sent a telegram to O. K. Davis, secretary of the progressive national committee, to-night, welcoming the support of the progressive party and in arraigning the present administration.

Chicago, June 26.—Col. Theodore Roosevelt in a long letter addressed to the progressive national committee and read to the committee today set forth his reasons in detail why he declined to accept the progressive party's nomination for president. After thanking the men and women of the country for their loyalty to progressive principles and their trust in his leadership he says:

"PARTY NO LONGER EFFECTIVE.

"The progressive movement has been given an incalculable impetus by what the Progressive party has done. Our strongest party antagonists have accepted and enlisted into law, or embodied in their party platforms, very many of our most important principles. Much has been accomplished in awakening the public to a better understanding of the problems of social and industrial welfare."

"Yet it has become entirely evident that the people under existing conditions are not prepared to accept a new party."

"It is impossible for us progressives to abandon our convictions. But we are faced with the fact that as things actually are the progressive national organization no longer offers the means whereby we can make these convictions effective in our national life. Under such circumstances, our duty is to do the best we can, and not to sink because our party is no longer effective."

"We are not, for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we regard as the best. That is a matter for us, good enough to believe that the course we advocated was in the highest interest of the American people is aside from the question. It is unparliamentary to refuse to do the best possible merely because the people have not put us in position to do what we